Satellite Symposium of Biomag 2012 Advances in Source-Space Functional Analysis Paris, France, 26, August, 2012

## Removal of Spurious Coherence in MEG Source-Space Coherence Analysis

Kensuke Sekihara<sup>1</sup>, Julia P. Owen<sup>2</sup>, Srikantan S. Nagarajan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Systems Design & Engineering Tokyo Metropolitan University

<sup>2</sup>Biomagnetic Imaging Laboratory, University of California, San Francisco

## Source-space coherence analysis

# Source-space coherence analysis is useful for various clinical applications, such as:

#### •Presurgical assessments of brain tumors

Guggisberg *et al.* "Mapping functional connectivity in patients with brain lesions," Annals of Neurology, 2007.

J. Martino *et al.* "*Resting functional connectivity in patients with brain tumors in eloquent areas,*" Annals of Neurology, 2010.

#### •Cognitive impairment assessments of schizophrenia patients

Hinkley et al. "Cognitive impairments in schizophrenia as assessed through activation and connectivity measures of magnetoencephalography (MEG) data," Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 2009.

## •Monitoring and assessment of patients with traumatic brain injury.

Tarapore *et al.* "Resting State MEG Functional Connectivity in Traumatic Brain Injury," in press.

#### Recovery assessment of stroke patients

K. Westlake et al. "Resting State Alpha-band Functional Connectivity and Recovery of Upper Extremity Function after Stroke," in press.

These investigations successfully use imaginary coherence, and my talk explains why we should use imaginary coherence.

## Voxel pair-wise magnitude coherence measure



•When computing voxel coherence, a reference voxel is first determined, and coherence map is computed between this reference voxel and all other voxels.

The reference voxel is called the seed voxel. The other voxel is called the target voxel. Coherence computed in this manner is called the seed coherence.

## **Problem with Coherence Analysis**

Interferences, errors, and noise that exist both in target and seed signals cause spurious (false-positive) coherence.

In source-space analysis, the leakage property of the inverse algorithm causes spurious coherence, which is typically manifested as an artificial large peak around the seed voxel.

→ Called seed blur

The seed blur often obscures interacting sources, as shown in the next slide.

#### **Seed blur – computer simulation**



The second source interacts with the other two sources.

•Magnitude coherence image shows the seed blur, which is a spurious coherence peak caused by the blur of imaging algorithm.

•The seed-blur peak is so high that it obscures the interacting sources.





#### Seed blur – analysis

Estimated seed voxel time course:

$$\hat{u}_S(t) = u_S(t) + d_1 u_T(t) + c_S(t)$$

Estimated target voxel time course:

$$\hat{u}_T(t) = u_T(t) + d_2 u_S(t) + c_T(t)$$

Estimated seed voxel spectrum:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{S} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{S} + d_{1}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{T} + C_{S}$$

Estimated target voxel spectrum:  $\hat{\sigma}$ 

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_T = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_T + d_2 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_S + C_T$$

Neglecting  $C_{S}$  and  $C_{T}$ , estimated magnitude coherence is:

$$\begin{split} \hat{\eta} &= \left| \left\langle \hat{\sigma}_{T} \hat{\sigma}_{S}^{*} \right\rangle \right| / \sqrt{\left\langle \left| \hat{\sigma}_{T} \right|^{2} \right\rangle \left\langle \left| \hat{\sigma}_{S} \right|^{2} \right\rangle} \\ &= \frac{\left| \left\langle \sigma_{T} \sigma_{S}^{*} \right\rangle + d_{1} \left\langle \left| \sigma_{T} \right|^{2} \right\rangle + d_{2} \left\langle \left| \sigma_{S} \right|^{2} \right\rangle + d_{1} d_{2} \left\langle \sigma_{S} \sigma_{T}^{*} \right\rangle \right|}{\sqrt{\left[ \left\langle \left| \sigma_{T} \right|^{2} \right\rangle + d_{2} \left\langle \left| \sigma_{S} \right|^{2} \right\rangle + 2 d_{2} \Re \left( \left\langle \sigma_{T} \sigma_{S}^{*} \right\rangle \right) \right] \left[ \left\langle \left| \sigma_{S} \right|^{2} \right\rangle + d_{1} \left\langle \left| \sigma_{T} \right|^{2} \right\rangle + 2 d_{1} \Re \left( \left\langle \sigma_{T} \sigma_{S}^{*} \right\rangle \right) \right]} \right]} \end{split}$$

When no brain interaction exists, i.e.,  $\langle \sigma_T \sigma_S^* \rangle = 0$ ,  $|\hat{\eta}| \neq 0$ .

### Use of imaginary part of coherence



G. Nolte et al. "Identifying true brain interaction from EEG data using the imaginary part of coherency," Clin. Neurophysiol.



Surrogate-data method is used to derive a proper thresholding value.

## **Experiments with Resting state MEG data**

#### **Resting state MEG was measured from three subjects:**

## •275-channel CTF system used.

•60-sec-long continuous data with 1200Hz sampling rate.

•Source reconstruction using narrow-band adaptive spatial filter with the beta (14-27 Hz) frequency band

#### Source power image



## **Results of Coherence Imaging**



Voxels located within the left pre-central gyrus (left primary motor area) were selected as seed voxels.

The surrogate data method was applied to select voxels with statistically significant values of coherence ( $\alpha$ =0.99).

### **Intensity bias of imaginary coherence**

The blur of imaging algorithms also causes bias in the coherence value.



#### Intensity bias: $\Omega$

Assuming 
$$d_1 = d_2 = d$$
, and  $\left| \boldsymbol{\sigma}_T \right|^2 \approx \left| \boldsymbol{\sigma}_S \right|^2$ , we have  $\frac{1+|d|}{1-|d|} \ge \Omega \ge \frac{1-|d|}{1+|d|}$ 

When  $d < 0.1, 1.2 > \Omega > 0.75$  and the maximum intensity bias is 25%.

### **Residual Coherence**

We propose a new way of computing coherence.

Regress the target spectrum with the seed spectrum:

$$\sigma_T = \alpha \sigma_S + v \quad (\alpha : \text{real-valued constant})$$
$$\alpha = \underset{\alpha}{\arg\min} \left\langle \left| \sigma(f) - \alpha \sigma_S(f) \right|^2 \right\rangle_{\text{trial}}$$

Compute coherence between  $\sigma_s$  and the residual v

$$\tilde{\eta} = \frac{\left\langle v \hat{\sigma}_{S}^{*} \right\rangle}{\sqrt{\left\langle \left| v \right|^{2} \right\rangle \left\langle \left| \hat{\sigma}_{S} \right|^{2} \right\rangle}} = \frac{\Im\left( \eta \right)}{\sqrt{\left[ 1 - \Re\left( \eta \right) \right]}}$$

 $\tilde{\eta}$  does not depend on the leakage property  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  and generally has a small intensity bias, unless  $\Re(\eta)$  is very large.



## **Effects of interference terms**

Seed voxel spectrum:

 $\hat{\sigma}_T = \sigma_T + C_T$ 

 $\hat{\sigma}_{s} = \sigma_{s} +$ 

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{S} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{S} + \boldsymbol{C}_{S}$$
  
Target voxel spectrum:  $\Im\left(\left\langle \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{T} \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{S}^{*} \right\rangle\right) = \Im\left(\left\langle \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{T} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{S}^{*} \right\rangle\right) + \Im\left(\left\langle \boldsymbol{C}_{T} \boldsymbol{C}_{S}^{*} \right\rangle\right)$ 

When  $\langle C_T C_S^* \rangle$  is real-valued, taking imaginary part removes the error term due to the interference.

What condition makes  $\langle C_T C_S^* \rangle$  to be real-valued?

 $\boldsymbol{b}_{T}$ : sensor interference time course

 $\boldsymbol{b}_{I}(t)$  is stationary  $\rightarrow \langle C_{T}C_{S}^{*} \rangle$  is real-valued

When  $\boldsymbol{b}_{I}(t)$  has non-stationary components,  $\left\langle C_{T}C_{S}^{*}\right\rangle$  has a non-zero imaginary part.

## Summary

•Seed blur, manifestation of spurious coherence in source-space coherence analysis, can be removed by using imaginary coherence.

•Values of imaginary coherence are biased by the leakage of imaging algorithms.

•Residual coherence gives small intensity bias, unless the real part of true coherence is very large.

•Interference terms in the seed and target spectra do not cause the imaginary component only when the interferences are stationary.

## Thank you very much for your attention.

0

Please visit our poster: Mo-184,185 in Monday afternoon

## **Effects of interference terms**

Seed voxel spectrum:

W

$$\hat{\sigma}_{S} = \sigma_{S} + C_{S}$$
Target voxel spectrum:  

$$\hat{\sigma}_{T} = \sigma_{T} + C_{T}$$
hen  $\langle C_{T}C_{S}^{*} \rangle$  is real-valued, taking imaginary part removes the intereference term  
Sensor interference time course:  $\boldsymbol{b}_{I} \longrightarrow \text{Sensor interference spectrum: } \boldsymbol{\beta}_{I}$   
 $C_{T} = \boldsymbol{w}^{T}(\boldsymbol{r}_{T})\boldsymbol{\beta}_{I}$  and  $C_{S} = \boldsymbol{w}^{T}(\boldsymbol{r}_{S})\boldsymbol{\beta}_{I} \implies \langle C_{T}C_{S}^{*} \rangle = \boldsymbol{w}^{T}(\boldsymbol{r}_{T})\langle \boldsymbol{\beta}_{I}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{I}^{H} \rangle \boldsymbol{w}(\boldsymbol{r}_{S})$   
 $\langle \boldsymbol{\beta}_{I}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{I}^{H} \rangle \longleftrightarrow_{FT} \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}_{I}(\tau)$ , and  $\boldsymbol{R}_{I}(\tau) = \int \boldsymbol{b}_{I}(t)\boldsymbol{b}_{I}^{T}(t+\tau) dt$   
 $\boldsymbol{b}_{I}(t)$  is stationary  $\Rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}_{I}(\tau)$  is even function  $\Rightarrow \langle \boldsymbol{\beta}_{I}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{I}^{H} \rangle$  is real-valued  
When  $\boldsymbol{b}_{I}(t)$  is non-stationary,  $\boldsymbol{R}_{I}(\tau)$  has an odd-function component, and  
 $\langle \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{I}^{H} \rangle$  has a non-zero imaginary part