IEEE Asian Pacific Conference on Biomedical Engineering 2003 Keihanna, Japan, November, 2003

# Neuromagnetic Source Reconstruction and Inverse Modeling

## Application of adaptive spatial filter techniques

Kensuke Sekihara

Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Technology

### This talk:

•formulates the neuromagnetic source reconstruction problem using spatial filter.

•introduces adaptive spatial filter (adaptive beamformer) techniques.

•clarifies its implicit assumptions on the source configuration.

•discuss a problem of SNR degradation caused by the errors in the forward modeling.

Magnetoencephalography (Neuromagnetic measurements)

•can provide a high temporal resolution.

# •<u>cannot provide (adequate) information</u> on the source spatial configuration.

Efficient numerical algorithms for estimating source configuration are need to be developed. (Source localization problem)

Source localization problem

•Dipole modeling approach

Image reconstruction approach
Tomographic reconstruction methods
Spatial filter

## Tomographic reconstruction

•Assume pixel grids in the region of interest.

•Assume a source at each grid.

•Estimate the moment of these sources by least-squares fitting to the measured data.



### Spatial filter technique

•Form spatial filter weight w(r) that focuses the sensitivity of the sensor array at a small area at r.

•Scan this focused area over the region of interest to obtain source reconstruction.

**Focused region** 

#### Right posterior tibial nerve stimulation

#### measured by a 37-channel sensor array



#### Hashimoto et al., NeuroReport 2001



#### Right median nerve stimulation

measured by a 160-channel whole-head sensor array



Hashimoto et al., J. Clinical Neurophysiology submitted for publication























18 ms







18 ms





54 ms



80 ms



- data covariance matrix:  $\boldsymbol{R} = \langle \boldsymbol{b}(t)\boldsymbol{b}^T(t) \rangle$
- source magnitude:  $S(\mathbf{r}, t)$
- source orientation:  $\boldsymbol{\eta}(\boldsymbol{r},t) = [\eta_X(\boldsymbol{r},t), \eta_V(\boldsymbol{r},t), \eta_Z(\boldsymbol{r},t)]^T$



Lead field vector for the source orientation  $\eta(r)$ 

$$\boldsymbol{L}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \begin{bmatrix} l_{1}^{x}(\boldsymbol{r}) & l_{1}^{y}(\boldsymbol{r}) & l_{1}^{z}(\boldsymbol{r}) \\ l_{2}^{x}(\boldsymbol{r}) & l_{2}^{y}(\boldsymbol{r}) & l_{2}^{z}(\boldsymbol{r}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ l_{M}^{x}(\boldsymbol{r}) & l_{M}^{y}(\boldsymbol{r}) & l_{M}^{z}(\boldsymbol{r}) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{l}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \boldsymbol{L}(\boldsymbol{r}) \begin{bmatrix} \eta_{x}(\boldsymbol{r}) \\ \eta_{y}(\boldsymbol{r}) \\ \eta_{z}(\boldsymbol{r}) \end{bmatrix}$$

**Basic relationship** 

 $b_{j}(t) = \int I_{j}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{r}, t) d\mathbf{r}$ or  $\mathbf{b}(t) = \int L(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{r}, t) d\mathbf{r}$ 

Problem of source localization:

Estimate s(r,t) from the measurement b(t)

### Spatial filter

Non-adaptive weight: w(r) is data independent

Adaptive weight: w(r) is data dependent

# Adaptive spatial filter

# Minimum-variance beamformer

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{S}}(\boldsymbol{r},t) = \boldsymbol{w}^{T}(\boldsymbol{r})\boldsymbol{b}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} W_{1}(\boldsymbol{r}), \dots, W_{M}(\boldsymbol{r}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_{1}(t) \\ \vdots \\ b_{1}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} W_{m}(r)b_{m}(t)$$

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{w}} \boldsymbol{w}^{T} \boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{w} \text{ subject to } \boldsymbol{w}^{T} \boldsymbol{l}(\boldsymbol{r}) = 1 \implies \boldsymbol{w}^{T}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \frac{\boldsymbol{l}^{T}(\boldsymbol{r}) \boldsymbol{R}^{-1}}{\boldsymbol{l}^{T}(\boldsymbol{r}) \boldsymbol{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{l}(\boldsymbol{r})}$$

$$\left\langle \widehat{s}(\boldsymbol{r},t)^{2} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{l}^{T}(\boldsymbol{r})\boldsymbol{R}^{-1}\boldsymbol{l}(\boldsymbol{r})}$$

Assumption that source activities are uncorrelated

With constraint: 
$$\boldsymbol{w}^{T}(\boldsymbol{r}_{p})\boldsymbol{l}(\boldsymbol{r}_{p}) = 1$$
,  
 $\boldsymbol{w}^{T}(\boldsymbol{r}_{p})\boldsymbol{R}\boldsymbol{w}(\boldsymbol{r}_{p}) = \left\langle \boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{r}_{p},t)^{2} \right\rangle + \sum_{q \neq p} \left\langle \boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{r}_{q},t)^{2} \right\rangle \|\boldsymbol{w}^{T}(\boldsymbol{r}_{p})\boldsymbol{l}(\boldsymbol{r}_{q})$   
 $\uparrow$   
 $\left\langle \boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{r}_{p},t)\boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{r}_{q},t) \right\rangle = 0$  when  $p \neq q$ 

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \left[ \mathbf{w}^{T}(\mathbf{r}_{p}) \mathbf{R} \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{r}_{p}) \right] \implies \mathbf{w}^{T}(\mathbf{r}_{p}) \mathbf{l}(\mathbf{r}_{q}) = 0, \ q \neq p$$

Therefore, this minimization gives the weight satisfying  $w^T(r_p)l(r_q) = 1$  for p = q= 0 for  $p \neq q$ 

### Spatial filter technique

•Form spatial filter weight W(r) that focuses the sensitivity of the sensor array at a small area at r.



Adaptive beamformer sensitivity pattern: plot of  $w(r_0)l(r)$ 

#### The density of the colors is proportional to $w(r_0)l(r)$ .



The weight sets null-sensitivity at regions where sources exist.

#### Low-rank signal assumption

Consider a easiest case where we know locations and orientations of all Q sources

weight  $w(r_1)$  (containing *M* unknowns) can be obtained by solving a set of *Q* linear equations:

$$w^{T}(\mathbf{r}_{1})\boldsymbol{l}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) = W_{1}(\mathbf{r}_{1})l_{1}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) + \dots + W_{M}(\mathbf{r}_{1})l_{M}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) = 1$$
  
$$w^{T}(\mathbf{r}_{1})\boldsymbol{l}(\mathbf{r}_{2}) = W_{1}(\mathbf{r}_{1})l_{1}(\mathbf{r}_{2}) + \dots + W_{M}(\mathbf{r}_{1})l_{M}(\mathbf{r}_{2}) = 0$$
  
$$\cdot$$

$$w^{T}(\mathbf{r}_{1})l(\mathbf{r}_{Q}) = W_{1}(\mathbf{r}_{1})l_{1}(\mathbf{r}_{Q}) + \ldots + W_{M}(\mathbf{r}_{1})l_{M}(\mathbf{r}_{Q}) = 0$$

•

when Q > M, there is no solution for  $w^T(r_1)$ 

# Low-rank signal

### Number of sensors M > Number of sources Q

$$\boldsymbol{R} = \boldsymbol{U} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1} & \boldsymbol{0} & \cdots & \ddots & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \ddots & \boldsymbol{0} & \ddots \\ \vdots & & \lambda_{Q} & & \vdots \\ \ddots & \boldsymbol{0} & & \ddots & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \ddots & \cdots & \boldsymbol{0} & \lambda_{M} \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{U}^{T} = \boldsymbol{U} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{S} & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{N} \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{U}^{T}$$

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} e_1, \dots, e_Q \\ E_S \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e_{Q+1}, \dots, e_M \\ E_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E_S \\ E_N \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\boxed{\Gamma_S^{-1} = E_S \Lambda_S^{-1} E_S^T \text{ and } \Gamma_N^{-1} = E_N \Lambda_N^{-1} E_N^T \Rightarrow R^{-1} = \Gamma_S^{-1} + \Gamma_N^{-1}$$

# Orthogonality principle

$$\boldsymbol{E}_{N}^{T}\boldsymbol{l}(\boldsymbol{r}_{q}) = \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{N}^{-1}\boldsymbol{l}(\boldsymbol{r}_{q}) = 0$$
 at any source location  $\boldsymbol{r}_{q}$ 

Minimum-variance spatial filter output:

$$\left\langle \widehat{s}(\boldsymbol{r})^{2} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{l}^{T}(\boldsymbol{r})\boldsymbol{R}^{-1}\boldsymbol{l}(\boldsymbol{r})} = \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{l}^{T}(\boldsymbol{r})\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{S}^{-1}\boldsymbol{l}(\boldsymbol{r}) + \boldsymbol{l}^{T}(\boldsymbol{r})\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{N}^{-1}\boldsymbol{l}(\boldsymbol{r})}$$

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_0.jpeg)

Minimum-norm reconstruction

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

Minimum-variance spatial filter reconstruction Adaptive-beamformer techniques were originally developed in the fields of radar, sonar, and seismic exploration.

Two major problems arise when applying minimum-variance beamformer to neuromagnetic source reconstruction.

•Output SNR degradation.

•Vector source detection.

# Output SNR degradation.

Minimum-variance beamformer is very sensitive to errors in forward modeling or errors in sample covariance matrix.

 $\downarrow$ 

Because such errors are almost inevitable in neuromagnetic measurements, minimum-variance beamformer generally provides noisy spatio-temporal reconstruction results.

Introducing eigenspace projection

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

Spatio-tempotal reconstruction

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

Recall some definitions:

$$\boldsymbol{R} = \boldsymbol{U} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1} & 0 & \cdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \lambda_{P} & & \vdots \\ & \ddots & 0 & & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & & & \cdots & 0 & \lambda_{M} \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{U}^{T} = \boldsymbol{U} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{S} & \boldsymbol{0} \\ 0 & \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{N} \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{U}^{T}, \text{ and } \boldsymbol{U} = [\underbrace{\boldsymbol{e}_{1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{e}_{P}}_{\boldsymbol{E}_{S}} \mid \underbrace{\boldsymbol{e}_{P+1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{e}_{M}}_{\boldsymbol{E}_{N}}]$$

Also,  $\Gamma_S^{-1} = E_S \Lambda_S^{-1} E_S^T$ ,  $\Gamma_N^{-1} = E_N \Lambda_N^{-1} E_N^T$ 

overall error in estimating l(r)

Output SNR  $\propto \frac{[\boldsymbol{I}^{T}(\boldsymbol{r})\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{S}^{-1}\boldsymbol{I}(\boldsymbol{r})]^{2}}{[\boldsymbol{I}^{T}(\boldsymbol{r})\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{S}^{-2}\boldsymbol{I}(\boldsymbol{r}) + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{N}^{-2}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\star}]}$ 

Even when  $\varepsilon$  is small,  $\varepsilon^T \Gamma_N^{-2} \varepsilon$  may not be small, because  $\varepsilon^T \Gamma_N^{-2} \varepsilon \approx \|\varepsilon\|^2 / \lambda_{p+j}^2 \leftarrow$  noise level eigenvalue

### **Eigenspace projection**

The error term  $\varepsilon^T \Gamma_N^{-2} \varepsilon$  arises from the noise subspace component of w(r).

Extension to eigenspace projection beamformer

$$\overline{\boldsymbol{w}}_{\mu} = \boldsymbol{E}_{S} \boldsymbol{E}_{S}^{T} \boldsymbol{w}_{\mu}, \text{ where } \mu = \boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{Y} \text{ or } \boldsymbol{Z}$$

Output SNR 
$$\propto \frac{[I^{T}(r)\Gamma_{S}^{-1}I(r)]^{2}}{[I^{T}(r)\Gamma_{S}^{-2}I(r) + \varepsilon^{T}\Gamma_{N}^{-2}\varepsilon]}$$
 (non-eigenspace projected)  
 $\downarrow \downarrow$   
Output SNR  $\propto \frac{[I^{T}(r)\Gamma_{S}^{-1}I(r)]^{2}}{[I^{T}(r)\Gamma_{S}^{-2}I(r)]}$  (eigenspace projected)

#### Spatio-tempotal reconstruction with eigen-space projection

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Application to 37-channel auditory-somatosensory recording eigenspace-projection results

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Application to 37-channel auditory-somatosensory recording Non-eigenspace projected results

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Summary

•This talk reviews the application of adaptive beamformer to reconstruction of brain activities.

•Eigenspace projection is shown to overcome the SNR degradation caused by errors in forward modeling; such errors are unavoidable in MEG measurements.

The implicit assumptions for the adaptive beamformer are that sources are uncorrelated and that the signal is low rank.

The influences caused when these assumptions are invalidated have been discussed elsewhere. The PDF versions of the presentations regarding those matters are available in

# http://www.tmit.ac.jp/~sekihara/

The PDF version of this power-point presentation as well as PDFs of the recent publications are also available.

#### Collaborators

University of California, San Francisco Biomagnetic Imaging Laboratory Dr. Srikantan S. Nagarajan

University of Maryland Linguistics and Cognitive Neuroscience Laboratory Dr. David Poeppel

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Department of Linguistics and Philosophy Dr. Alec Marantz

Kanazawa Institute of Technology Human Science Laboratory Dr. Isao Hashimoto